



AFRL-AFOSR-VA-TR-2016-0377

An Integrated approach to the Space Situational Awareness
Problem

Suman Chakravorty
TEXAS ENGINEERING EXPERIMENT STATION COLLEGE STATION
1470 WILLIAM D FITCH PKY
COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3577

12/23/2016
Final Report

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

Air Force Research Laboratory
AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/RTA2

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.</p>						
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 15-12-2016		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 2/15/2013 - 8/31/2016		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE An Integrated approach to the Space Situational Awareness Problem			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
			5b. GRANT NUMBER FA9550-13-1-0074			
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Suman Chakravorty			5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
			5e. TASK NUMBER			
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Texas A&M Engineering Experiment Station (TEES) 400 Harvey Mitchell Pkwy South, Suite 300 College Station, TX 77845				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER C13-00419 (502875)		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AF Office of Scientific Research 875 North Randolph Street, RM 3112 Arlington, VA 22203				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFOSR		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) FA955003		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None						
14. ABSTRACT We have unified the finite Set Statistics (FISST) and Multi-Hypothesis Tracking (MHT) methodologies for multi-target tracking and developed a randomized version of FISST called RFISST that makes the implementation of the full FISST/ MHT recursions computationally tractable. The DDDAS paradigm used in this method actively controls the number of likely hypotheses, pruning them based on data coming from the sensors. We developed particle-based Gaussian Mixture Filters that are immune to the “curse of dimensionality”/ “particle depletion” problem inherent in particle filtering. This method maps the data assimilation/ filtering problem into an unsupervised learning problem. Results show that the performance is comparable to competing techniques. This is a thrust that is data driven to maintain the correct posterior density and uses the DDDAS paradigm. We developed a simulation based sensor scheduling scheme that can tackle the measurement steering problem inherent in all DDDAS applications. The algorithm is a heuristic solution to the underlying partially observed Markov Decision Problem (PMDP) that does not suffer from the “curse of Dimensionality and History” inherent in such problems, which allows recovery of true optimality.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS DDDAS paradigm; Gaussian Mixture Filters; particle depletion; Finite Set Statistics						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT U			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT b. ABSTRACT U	18. NUMBER OF PAGES c. THIS PAGE UU 1	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Frederica Darema	
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 703-588-1926	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

- 1. REPORT DATE.** Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-06-1998; xx-xx-1998.
- 2. REPORT TYPE.** State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.
- 3. DATES COVERED.** Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 - Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May - Nov 1998; Nov 1998.
- 4. TITLE.** Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.
- 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER.** Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.
- 5b. GRANT NUMBER.** Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.
- 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER.** Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.
- 5d. PROJECT NUMBER.** Enter all project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.
- 5e. TASK NUMBER.** Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.
- 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER.** Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.
- 6. AUTHOR(S).** Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.
- 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES).** Self-explanatory.
- 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER.** Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.
- 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES).** Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.
- 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S).** Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.
- 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S).** Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.
- 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT.** Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.
- 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.** Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.
- 14. ABSTRACT.** A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.
- 15. SUBJECT TERMS.** Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.
- 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION.** Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.
- 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT.** This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

DDDAS AFOSR project FA9550-13-1-0074: An Integrated approach to the Space Situational Awareness Problem

The following papers were a result of this project.

Multi-Target Tracking

In this work, we have unified the finite Set Statistics (FISST) and Multi-Hypothesis Tracking (MHT) methodologies for multi-target tracking and developed a randomized version of FISST called RFISST that makes the implementation of the full FISST/ MHT recursions computationally tractable. The DDDAS paradigm is used in this method to actively control the number of likely hypothesis and for pruning them based on the data coming from the sensors.

- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, “A Randomized Approach to the Solution of Multi-Target Tracking Problems”, *FUSION 2015*
- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, “A Comparison of RFISST and MHT for Space Situational Awareness Problems”, , *Proceedings of the 2015 AAS/ AIAA Astrodynamics Specialists Conference*, Vail, CO.
- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, “Multi-Target Tracking using a Randomized Hypothesis Generation Technique”, *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, submitted
- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, “An Improved Randomized Technique for Multi-Target Tracking”, *FUSION 2016*

Particle Gaussian Mixture Filters

In this work, we have developed particle based Gaussian mixture filters that are immune to the “curse of dimensionality”/ “particle depletion” problem inherent in particle filtering and Gaussian Mixture Filters. As such, this method maps the data assimilation/ filtering problem into an unsupervised learning problem and results show that the performance is comparable, and frequently better, than competing techniques while it is able to scale to really high dimensional problems that the other techniques cannot due to the aforementioned problem of particle depletion. This is a thrust that is data driven to maintain the correct posterior density and thus, uses the DDDAS paradigm.

- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, “A Hybrid Particle Filter-UKF algorithm for tracking Space Objects”, *Proceedings of the 2015 AAS/ AIAA Astrodynamics Specialists Conference*, Vail, CO
- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, “Particle Gaussian Mixture Filters I”. *FUSION 2016*
- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, “Particle Gaussian Mixture Filters II”. *FUSION 2016*

Information Space Receding Horizon Control (I-RHC)

In this work, we have developed a simulation based sensor scheduling scheme that can tackle the measurement steering problem inherent in all DDDAS applications. The algorithm is a heuristic solution to the underlying partially observed Markov Decision Problem (PMDP) that does not suffer from the “curse of Dimensionality and History” inherent in such problems. Our ongoing work shows that this method is very well

motivated in the sense that with an intuitive tweak to the method, theoretically, it is able to recover true optimality. This thrust uses the DDAS paradigm in that it actively steers the measurement process, in this case the sensor schedule, such that the information regarding the targets is maximized.

Z. Sunberg, S. Chakravorty and R. Erwin, “Information Space Receding Horizon Control for Multi-Sensor Tasking,” *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, accepted, to appear

M. Abusoltan, S. Chakravorty and S. Khatri, “ A GPU based implementation of Sensor Scheduling for Space Situational Awareness”, *FUSION 2016*

The following papers are not directly related to this project but are relevant to the DDDAS formalism, and have benefited from the synergy with this project.

Model Reduction

In this work, we have developed a model reduction technique that makes online model reduction for expensive black box models feasible. The method is a generalization of random projection and compressive sampling methods to the problems of model reduction for large scale systems. This method is orders of magnitude cheaper than competing techniques like Balanced Proper Orthogonal decomposition (BPOD) and also has orders of magnitude better accuracy at the same time. The DDAS paradigm is used in that data is used to actively perform online model reduction for very large scale systems such as chemical and pollutant transport problems.

D. Yu and S. Chakravorty, “A Computationally Optimal Randomized Proper Orthogonal Decomposition Approach”, *Proceedings of the ACC 2016*

D. Yu and S. Chakravorty, “A Computationally Optimal Randomized Proper Orthogonal Decomposition Approach”, *Automatica, submitted*

Distributed Data Fusion

In this work, we have unified the Consensus and Covariance Intersection (CI) based approaches to distributed Data Fusion that allows the hybrid technique to recover the performance of the Consensus based methods while being network agnostic and robust to unreliable networks like the CI approaches. The DDAS paradigm enables us to use the data coming from multiple in a tractable but sub-optimal fashion such that we can form consistent estimates of the monitored field, this is important, for instance, in monitoring spatially varying fields such as chemical or pollutant transport.

A. Tamjidi, S. Chakravorty and D. Shell, “Unifying Consensus and Covariance Intersection for Decentralized State Estimation”, *IROS 2016*

For legibility, we only attach the comprehensive versions of the papers on each of the above topics in the following.

AFOSR Deliverables Submission Survey

Response ID:7342 Data

1.

Report Type

Final Report

Primary Contact Email

Contact email if there is a problem with the report.

schakrav@tamu.edu

Primary Contact Phone Number

Contact phone number if there is a problem with the report

979-458-0064

Organization / Institution name

Texas A&M Engineering Experiment Station

Grant/Contract Title

The full title of the funded effort.

An Integrated Approach to the Space Situational Awareness Problem

Grant/Contract Number

AFOSR assigned control number. It must begin with "FA9550" or "F49620" or "FA2386".

FA9550-13-1-0074

Principal Investigator Name

The full name of the principal investigator on the grant or contract.

Suman Chakravorty

Program Officer

The AFOSR Program Officer currently assigned to the award

Frederica Darama

Reporting Period Start Date

02/15/2013

Reporting Period End Date

08/31/2016

Abstract

In this work, we have unified the finite Set Statistics (FISST) and Multi-Hypothesis Tracking (MHT) methodologies for multi-target tracking and developed a randomized version of FISST called RFISST that makes the implementation of the full FISST/ MHT recursions computationally tractable. The DDDAS paradigm is used in this method to actively control the number of likely hypothesis and for pruning them based on the data coming from the sensors.

In this work, we have developed particle based Gaussian mixture filters that are immune to the "curse of dimensionality"/ "particle depletion" problem inherent in particle filtering and Gaussian Mixture Filters. As such, this method maps the data assimilation/ filtering problem into an unsupervised learning problem and results show that the performance is comparable, and frequently better, than competing techniques while it is able to scale to really high dimensional problems that the other techniques cannot due to the aforementioned problem of particle depletion. This is a thrust that is data driven to maintain the correct posterior density and thus, uses the DDDAS paradigm.

In this work, we have developed a simulation based sensor scheduling scheme that can tackle the measurement steering problem inherent in all DDDAS applications. The algorithm is a heuristic solution to
DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

the underlying partially observed Markov Decision Problem (PMDP) that does not suffer from the "curse of Dimensionality and History" inherent in such problems. Our ongoing work shows that this method is very well motivated in the sense that with an intuitive tweak to the method, theoretically, it is able to recover true optimality. This thrust uses the DDAS paradigm in that it actively steers the measurement process, in this case the sensor schedule, such that the information regarding the targets is maximized.

Distribution Statement

This is block 12 on the SF298 form.

Distribution A - Approved for Public Release

Explanation for Distribution Statement

If this is not approved for public release, please provide a short explanation. E.g., contains proprietary information.

SF298 Form

Please attach your [SF298](#) form. A blank SF298 can be found [here](#). Please do not password protect or secure the PDF. The maximum file size for an SF298 is 50MB.

[C13-00419+SF298+Chakravorty.pdf](#)

Upload the Report Document. File must be a PDF. Please do not password protect or secure the PDF . The maximum file size for the Report Document is 50MB.

[C13-00419+Final+Report.pdf](#)

Upload a Report Document, if any. The maximum file size for the Report Document is 50MB.

Archival Publications (published) during reporting period:

- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, " A Randomized Approach to the Solution of Multi-Target Tracking Problems", FUSION 2015
- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, " A Comparison of RFISST and MHT for Space Situational Awareness Problems", , Proceedings of the 2015 AAS/ AIAA Astrodynamics Specialists Conference, Vail, CO.
- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, " Multi-Target Tracking using a Randomized Hypothesis Generation Technique", IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, submitted
- W. Faber, S. Chakravorty and I. I. Hussein, "An Improved Randomized Technique for Multi-Target Tracking", FUSION 2016
- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, " A Hybrid Particle Filter-UKF algorithm for tracking Space Objects", Proceedings of the 2015 AAS/ AIAA Astrodynamics Specialists Conference, Vail, CO
- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, "Particle Gaussian Mixture Filters". FUSION 2016
- Z. Sunberg, S. Chakravorty and R. Erwin, "Information Space Receding Horizon Control for Multi-Sensor Tasking," IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics, accepted, to appear
- M. Abusoltan, S. Chakravorty and S. Khatri, " A GPU based implementation of Sensor Scheduling for Space Situational Awareness", FUSION 2016
- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, "Particle Gaussian Mixture Filters I", IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, submitted
- D. Raihan and S. Chakravorty, "Particle Gaussian Mixture Filters II", IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, submitted
- D. Yu and S. Chakravorty, "A Computationally Optimal Randomized Proper Orthogonal Decomposition Approach", Proceedings of the ACC 2016
- D. Yu and S. Chakravorty, "A Computationally Optimal Randomized Proper Orthogonal Decomposition Approach", Automatica, submitted
- A. Tamjidi, S. Chakravorty and D. Shell, "Unifying Consensus and Covariance Intersection for Decentralized State Estimation", IROS 2016

New discoveries, inventions, or patent disclosures:

Do you have any discoveries, inventions, or patent disclosures to report for this period?

No

Please describe and include any notable dates

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

Do you plan to pursue a claim for personal or organizational intellectual property?

Changes in research objectives (if any):

None

Change in AFOSR Program Officer, if any:

None

Extensions granted or milestones slipped, if any:

None

AFOSR LRIR Number

LRIR Title

Reporting Period

Laboratory Task Manager

Program Officer

Research Objectives

Technical Summary

Funding Summary by Cost Category (by FY, \$K)

	Starting FY	FY+1	FY+2
Salary			
Equipment/Facilities			
Supplies			
Total			

Report Document

Report Document - Text Analysis

Report Document - Text Analysis

Appendix Documents

2. Thank You

E-mail user

Dec 15, 2016 08:06:07 Success: Email Sent to: schakrav@tamu.edu